## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

HENDEDGON DIÆVED			
HENDERSON BUTLER,	)		, the second decade
774 1 1 100	)	CHILL COLORS	
Plaintiff,	)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 0	4-10761-RWZ
v.	)		
	)		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)		
	)		
Defendant.	)		

#### NOTICE OF FILING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on this day the original certified or attested copies of the state court pleadings received from the Clerk of the Suffolk Superior Court, were filed with the United States District Court.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL J. SULLIVAN UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

Bv:

Leremy M. Sternberg

Assistant U.S. Attorney

One Courthouse Way, Suite 9200

Boston, MA 02210 Tel: (617) 748-3142

Dated: 7, 27-04

Certificate of Service
I hereby certify that a true copy of
the above document was served upon
(each party appearing pro se and) the
attorney of record for each. Other
party by mail/hand on

4.22-07

TE U

Assistant U.S. Attorney

Suffolk	Superior	. Civil #	03-5852
	UNITED STATES D		
	DISTRICT OF MAS	SSACHUSETTS	COPY

HENDERSON BUTLER,	
Plaintiff,	) CIVIL ACTION NO
v.	
MAKOTO NAGOSHI, M.D. and JONATHAN GORDON, M.D.,	) Formerly Civil No. 03-5852 ) Suffolk County Superior Court
Defendants.	Here was an error 4/3/04
	NOTICE OF REMOVAL  NOTICE OF REMOVAL

The United States of America, the proper defendant in the above-entities BY:

negligence by two doctors employed by the Department of Veterans Affairs ("VA") during an April 27,

1999 medical procedure at the VA Hospital in West Roxbury, Massachusetts, by its attorney, Michael

J. Sullivan, United States Attorney for the District of Massachusetts, respectfully states as follows:

- 1. Two employees of the VA, a federal agency, have been named as defendants in a civil action alleging medical malpractice arising out of an April 27, 1999 medical procedure at the VA Hospital in West Roxbury, Massachusetts now pending in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Superior Court, entitled Butler v. Nagoshi, et al., Civil Action No. 03-5852. The employees were working within the scope of their VA employment at all relevant times. See Certification filed herewith.
- 2. The action is removable to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441, 1442 and/or 1446.
  - 3. The removal of this action is timely under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 2679(d)(2), which

MAS-200309,12 guen \_ \*

# Commonwealth of Massachusetts SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT Case Summary Civil Docket

04/16/2004 02:59 PM

SUCV2003-05852 Butler v Nagoshi MD et al

Date	Paper	Text	
		review	
04/16/2004		Certified copy of petition for removal to U. S. Dist.Court of Defts.	1
•		Makota Nagoshi M. D. and Jonathan Gordon M. D. U. S.	Ì
		Dist.#(04-10761RWZ).	ļ
04/16/2004		Case REMOVED this date to US District Court of Massachusetts	

#### **EVENTS**

. HEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON

APRIL 20, 2004, THAT THE

FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE, AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY.

> MICHAEL JOSEPH DONOVAN CLERK / WAGISTRATE SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT



#### COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT
CIVIL ACTION NO.

HENDERSON BUTLER,

Plaintiff,

V.

MAKOTO NAGOSHI, MD and
JONATHAN GORDON, MD

Defendants.

#### COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

#### **PARTIES**

1.

Plaintiff Henderson Butler is an individual residing at 15 West Tremlet Street, Dorchester, Suffolk County, Massachusetts.

2.

Based upon information and belief, Defendant Jonathan Gordon, is an individual licensed to practice medicine in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, holding himself out to be a specialist in general and vascular surgery whose usual place of business is 43 Village Square, Chelmsford, Middlesex County, Massachusetts.

3.

Based upon information and belief, Makoto Nagoshi, MD is an individual licensed to practice medicine in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, holding himself out to be a

specialist in anesthesiology whose usual place of business is 736 Cambridge Street, Brighton, Suffolk County,
Massachsuetts.

#### COUNT I

#### (Negligence-David Arndt, M.D.)

4.

Plaintiff repeats, restates and incorporates those allegations contained in paragraphs one (1) through three (3) as if fully set forth in their entirety.

5.

Plaintiff, Henderson Butler, was an individual who came under the care and treatment of defendant Jonathan Gordon, M.D. for a ventral hernia repair on April 27, 1999 performed at the Veteran's Administration Hospital in West Roxbury, Massachusetts.

6.

A doctor-patient relationship was established between plaintiff and defendant Gordon.

7.

Subsequently, the defendant, Dr. Gordon, M.D., by himself or through his employees, agents and/or servants, negligently provided medical services to plaintiff during the hernia repair, which plaintiff had no reason to know occurred until December 12, 2000.

8.

Defendant, Jonathan Gordon, M.D. failed to meet the applicable standard of care in his follow-up treatment of

plaintiff by failing to discover his negligence and by doing so caused further injury.

9.

As a direct and proximate result of defendant, Jonathan Gordon, M.D.'s acts of negligence, plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer great pain of body and anguish of mind; his ability to work, to earn income and to perform his usual activities has been restricted; his health has been impaired; he has been required to spend sums of money for continued medical care and treatment; and his ability to enjoy a normal life has been adversely affected.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, Henderson Butler demands judgment against defendant Jonathan Gordon, M.D. in an amount to be shown at trial for the serious injuries plaintiff sustained as a result of defendant's negligence, plus interest, costs and reasonable attorney's fees.

#### COUNT II

#### (Breach of Duty-Jonathan Gordon, M.D.)

10.

Plaintiff repeats, restates and incorporates by reference those allegations contained in paragraphs one (1) through three (3), and paragraphs four (4) through nine (9) of Count I as if fully set forth in their entirety.

11.

Plaintiff, Henderson Butler, was an individual who came under the care and treatment of defendant Jonathan Gordon,

M.D. for hernia repair surgery on April 27, 1999 performed at the Veteran's Administration Hospital in West Roxbury,
Massachusetts.

12.

Defendant Gordon, as a general and vascular surgeon had a duty to plaintiff to utilize those skills, procedures and practices that another orthopedic surgeon in like circumstances would utilize in a hernia repair surgery.

13.

A doctor-patient relationship was established between plaintiff and defendant Gordon.

14.

Defendant, Jonathan Gordon, M.D., by himself or through his employees, agents and/or servants, breached his duty of care by negligently providing medical services to plaintiff during the ventral hernia repair.

15.

Defendant, Jonathan Gordon, M.D. failed to meet the applicable standard of care in his follow-up treatment of plaintiff by failing to discover the negligence and by doing so caused further injury, providing plaintiff with no reason to know either a breach of duty or negligence occurred until December 12, 2000.

16.

As a direct and proximate result of defendant, Jonathan Gordon M.D.'s negligence and breach of duty, plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer great pain of body and

anguish of mind; his ability to work, to earn income and to perform his usual activities has been restricted; his health has been impaired; he has been required to spend sums of money for continued medical care and treatment; he has been required to spend sums of money for continued medical care and treatment; and his ability to enjoy a normal life has been adversely affected.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, Henderson Butler demands judgment against defendant Jonathan Gordon, M.D. in an amount to be shown at trial for the serious injuries plaintiff sustained as a result of defendant's negligence, plus interest, costs and reasonable attorney's fees.

#### Count III

(Negligence -Makoto Nagoshi, M.D.)

17.

Plaintiff repeats, restates and incorporates by reference those allegations contained in paragraphs one (1) through four (4), paragraphs five (5) through nine (9) of Count I, and paragraphs ten (10) through sixteen (16) of Count II as if fully set forth in their entirety.

18.

Plaintiff, Henderson Butler, was an individual who came under the care and treatment of defendant Makoto Nagoshi, M.D. for a ventral hernia repair on April 27, 1999 performed at the Veteran's Administration Hospital in West Roxbury, Massachusetts.

A doctor-patient relationship was established between plaintiff and defendant Gordon.

20.

Subsequently, the defendant, Dr. Nagoshi, M.D., by himself or through his employees, agents and/or servants, negligently provided medical services to plaintiff during the hernia repair, which plaintiff had no reason to know occurred until December 12, 2000.

21.

Defendant, Makoto Nagoshi, M.D. failed to meet the applicable standard of care in his follow-up treatment of plaintiff by failing to discover his negligence and by doing so caused further injury.

22.

As a direct and proximate result of defendant, Makoto Nagoshi's, M.D.'s acts of negligence, plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer great pain of body and anguish of mind; his ability to work, to earn income and to perform his usual activities has been restricted; his health has been impaired; he has been required to spend sums of money for continued medical care and treatment; and his ability to enjoy a normal life has been adversely affected.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, Henderson Butler demands judgment against defendant Makoto Nagoshi, M.D. in an amount to be shown at trial for the serious injuries plaintiff

sustained as a result of defendant's negligence, plus interest, costs and reasonable attorney's fees.

#### COUNT IV

#### (Breach of Duty-Makoto Nagoshi, M.D.)

23.

Plaintiff repeats, restates and incorporates by reference those allegations contained in paragraphs one (1) through four (4), paragraphs five (5) through nine (9) of Count I, paragraphs ten (10) through sixteen (16) of Count II, and paragraphs seventeen (17) through twenty-two (22) of Count IV as if fully set forth in their entirety.

24.

Plaintiff, Henderson Butler, was an individual who came under the care and treatment of defendant Makoto Nagoshi, M.D. for hernia repair surgery on April 27, 1999 performed at the Veteran's Adminstration in West Roxbury, Massachusetts.

25.

Defendant Nagoshi, as an anesthesiologist had a duty to plaintiff to utilize those skills, procedures and practices that another anesthesiologist in like circumstances would utilize in a hernia repair surgery.

26.

A doctor-patient relationship was established between plaintiff and defendant Nagoshi.

27.

Defendant, Makoto Nagoshi, M.D., by himself or through his employees, agents and/or servants, breached his duty of

care by negligently providing medical services to plaintiff during the ventral hernia repair.

28.

Defendant, Makoto Nagoshi, M.D. failed to meet the applicable standard of care in his follow-up treatment of plaintiff by failing to discover the negligence and by doing so caused further injury, providing plaintiff with no reason to know either a breach of duty or negligence occurred until December 12, 2000.

29.

As a direct and proximate result of defendant, Makoto Nagoshi, M.D.'s negligence and breach of duty, plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer great pain of body and anguish of mind; his ability to work, to earn income and to perform his usual activities has been restricted; his health has been impaired; he has been required to spend sums of money for continued medical care and treatment; he has been required to spend sums of money for continued medical care and treatment; and his ability to enjoy a normal life has been adversely affected.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, Henderson Butler demands judgment against defendant Makoto Nagoshi, M.D. in an amount to be shown at trial for the serious injuries plaintiff sustained as a result of defendant's negligence, plus interest, costs and reasonable attorney's fees.

### PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL COUNTS

Respectfully submitted,

Henderson Butler By his attorney LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY S. GLASSMAN, L.L.P.

NEIL S. COHEN, ESQ.

BBO NO. 561173

One Beacon Street, Suite 3333

Boston, MA 02108 (617) 367-2900

Dated: 12/12/03

. HEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON

APRIL 20, 2004 THAT THE

FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE, AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY.

MICHAEL JOSEPH DONOVAN

CLERK MAGISTRATE
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CHARZOURT
DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT

Case 1014-ACTION 1-RV 2 ADOCUMENTS	Trial Court of Massachusetts  County:  County:
PLAINTIFF(S)	DECEMBRATION
Henderson Butler	Jona Han Gordon, M.D.  Makuto Nagushi, M.P.  ATTORNEY (If known)
ATTOPACY FIGURALIAN APPENDING AND THE PRINCIP	ATTORNEY (if known)
Neil & Cohin Telprin & Glassman 168	
Neils Cohin  Like Offices of Jeffrey S. Glassman LEP  One Beacon Shirt Suite 3333, Besten, MA  Board of Bar Overseers number: 561173	
Origin code and	track designation
Place an x in one box only:	4. F04 District Count Appear c.231 s. 97 &104 (After
1. F01 Original Complaint	_ trial) (X)   显声   云
2. F02 Removal to Sup.Ct. C.231,s.104 (Before trial) (F)	5. F05 Reactivated after rescript; relief from
3. F03 Retransfer to Sup.Ct. C.231,s.102C (X)	judgment/Order(Mass.R.Civ.P369) (X)  6. E10 Summary Process Appeal (X)
	DESIGNATION (See reverse side)
CODE NO. TYPE OF ACTION (specify) TRACK	IS THIS A JURY CASE?
	4 2 ·
Bolo Mulprachit-Medical (A)  The following is a full, itemized and detailed statements	( ) Yes ( ) No
money damages. For this form, disregard double or	treble damage claims; indicate single damages only.
	CLÀIMS
A. Documented medical expenses to date:	heets as necessary)
Total hospital expenses	\$ 25,000.00
Total Doctor expenses	\$\$\$\$\$
Total chiropractic expenses     Total physical therapy expenses	\$
5. Total other expenses (describe)	
	Subtotal \$ .3.3, QQQ, QQ
Documented lost wages and compensation to date     Documented property damages to date	\$
	es \$VNKnewn.
<ol><li>Reasonably anticipated lost wages</li></ol>	\$
Other documented items of damages (describe)	
<ol> <li>Brief description of plaintiff's injury, including nature and ext</li> </ol>	tent of injury (describe)
Plaintiff suffered serieus injuries a	s a result of negligenia
in the performance of a hernia surg	ery that has left
Plaintiff suffered serious injuries a in the performance of a hernia surg plaintiff in chronic pain and signifi	chat disability. TOTAL \$. 33,000.00
CONTRAC	T CLAIMS
(Attach additional sh	
Provide a detailed description of claim(s):	
	TOTAL \$
PLEASE IDENTIFY, BY CASE NUMBER, NAME AND COUNTY COURT DEPARTMENT	Y, ANY RELATED ACTION PENDING IN THE SUPERIOR
"I hereby certify that I have complied with the requirements Dispute Resolution (SJC Rule 1:18) requiring that I provide resolution services and discuss with them the advantages and the services are services."	my clients with information about court-connected dispute
Signature of Attorney of Record	DATE: 12/12/US
OTC-6 mtc005-11/99	,

AOTC-6 mtc005-A.O.S.C. 1-2000

. HEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON

APRIL 20, 2004 THAT THE

FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE, AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY.

MICHAEL JOSEPH DONOVAN
CLERK / MAGISTRATE
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT
DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT

NOTIF 3.16 3.15

#### COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL ACTION NO.03-5852-B

HENDERSON BUTLER,
)
Plaintiff,
)
V.
)
JONATHAN GORDON, MD and
MAKOTO NAGOSHI, MD,
)
Defendants.

SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL CLERK'S OFFICE

2001 MAR 10 P 2: 25

MICHAEL JOSEFI DONOVAN

## PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME TO PERFECT SERVICE

Now comes the Plaintiff in the above-captioned civil action and hereby files his motion to enlarge the time to perfect service of the Complaint on the Defendant, Makoto Nagoshi, M.D. for the following reasons:

- 1. Plaintiff has had difficulty in locating Defendant,
  Makoto Nagoshi, M.D., in order to serve him with the Complaint.
- 2. Plaintiff has attempted to serve the Defendant, Makoto Nagoshi, M.D., at his last known business address in Suffolk County which resulted in a return of "diligent search" and information that said Defendant is now residing in the State of California.

Allowed. 3/15/04.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff requests enlargement of the time of ninety (90) days to perfect service of the Complaint on the Defendant, Makoto Nagoshi, M.D.

Respectfully submitted, By plaintiff's attorney LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY S. GLASSMAN, LLP

Neil S. Cohen, Esquire

BBO # 561173

One Beacon Street, Suite 3333

Boston, MA 02108 (617) 367-2900

Dated: 3/10/04

. HEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON

APRIL 20, 2004, THAT THE FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE, AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY.

MICHAEL JOSEPH DONOVAN CLERK / MAGISTRATE SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Neil S. Cohen, counsel for the Plaintiff, do hereby certify that I served the foregoing Plaintiff's Motion to Enlarge Time on all counsel of record and non-represented parties by United States First Class mail, postage prepaid to: Jonathan Gordon, M. D., 43 Village Square, Chelmsford, MA 01824.

This 10 day of Marl, 2004.

Neil S. Cohen, Esquire

## Commonwealth of Massachusetts

SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL CLERK'S OFFICE

SUFFOLK, ss.



2004 MAR 16 P 12: 33

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT CIVIL ACTION

MICHAEL JOSEPH DONOVAN CLERK/MAGISTRATE

	00 -0-0	
No	<u>03-5</u> 852	

<u> Henderson Butler</u>

ν.

Makoto Nagoshi, M.D. and

, Defendant(s)

Jonathan Gordon, M.D.

#### **SUMMONS**

To the above-named Defendant: Jonathan Gordon, M.D.

You are hereby summoned and required to serve upon Neil S. Cohen, Esquire Law Offices of Jeffrey S. Glassman, L.L.P. plaintiff's attorney, whose address is 1 Beacon St., Ste. 3333, Boston, MA, an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within 20 days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You are also required to file your answer to the complaint in the office of the Clerk of this court at Boston either before service upon plaintiff's attorney or within a reasonable time thereafter.

Unless otherwise provided by Rule 13(a), your answer must state as a counterclaim any claim which you may have against the plaintiff which arises out of the transaction or occurrence that is the subject matter of the plaintiff's claim or you will thereafter be barred from making such claim in any other action.

Witness, Suzanne V	. DelVecchio, Esquire, at Boston, the	FOURTH	day of
MARCH	, in the year of our Lord two thousand	FOUR	

Michael Joseph Donovan Clerk/Magistrate

This summons is issued pursuant to Rule 4 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure.

- 2. When more than one defendant is involved, the names of all defendants should appear in the caption. If a separate summons is used for each defendant, each should be addressed to the particular defendant
- 3. TO PEAINTHEE'S ATTORNEY, PEFASE CIRCLE TYPE OF ACTION INVOLVED

(f) FORT — (2) MOTOR VEHICLE FORT — (3) CONTRACT — (4) FQUITABLE RELIEF — (5) OTHER

FORM CIV.P. 1 3rd Rev. 30M 10/2000

#### HEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON

APRIL 20. 2004 , THAT THE FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL,

TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE, AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY.

> MICHAEL JOSEPH DONOVAN CLERK / MAGISTRATE SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRUAL COURT

ASSISTANT CLERK.

complaint, but if you claim to have a ys as specified heroin and also file the 0 court to answer must serve a copy NOTICE TO DEFENDANT You defense, either you or your attorney original in the Clerk's Office.

not You need